

## VSP Public Comment

---

**From:** rebeccanako@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2005 6:14 AM  
**To:** Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs  
**Cc:** McDannold, Bruce  
**Subject:** Standards for AVVPAT

Should California reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

Please say NO to the Diebold/ES and S electronic voting system, who should already be banned in California for their criminal acts in selling uncertified voting software to the state.

In an attempt to frustrate the citizen movement for a paper ballot trail for recount purposes in CA, Diebold has begrudgingly proposed a thermal paper (like gas station receipts) roll system with teeny-tiny type. The intent of this proposal is to discourage any recount requests by making it difficult, expensive and tedious. We must have a voter verifiable and recount friendly system to preserve voter intent in our state, not this. Equally disturbing is the proposed wireless and internet access to be built into our voting system, an open invitation to hacking this insecure software.

Please say no to this software and help to prevent a potentially fraudulent election from occurring in our state!

Thank you for your consideration.

Ms. Rebecca Nako  
4211 24th St  
San Francisco, CA 94114

### Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.